

February 25, 1994 LB 140, 414

of provisions dealing with loading, weigh fares, free time, demurage, storage, damages and some of these sections seem to have penalties of their own attaching to these various items. Senator, this is a pretty broad amendment, is it not?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bernard-Stevens.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Yes, but it's going to take me a minute to respond to your question. But it is a substantive amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay I sigh a little bit because I think there are things that need to be evened up a little bit in this whole scenario. But an amendment of this scale, which deals with well over probably 100 provisions of law, which appears to duplicate some penalties, which also has in it lean provisions that are not recorded of record, and I don't know how a title company, for example, would identify those, which also makes people...makes companies, railroad carriers subject to misdemeanor penalties,...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...and I don't know how you make a company subject to a misdemeanor penalty. I mean it seems to me that this is extremely broad in application and dubious in its effect in at least four or five areas. And I guess I would just suggest that the body look at this very closely and approach it with a degree of caution.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. The Chair recognizes Senator Crosby.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Madam Chair and members: I have...I looked at LB 414, since you mentioned it, and it seems to me in that particular thing, and I was listening to what Senator Beutler says, in LB 414 there are some money penalties. I don't know where Senator Bernard-Stevens is, but there are some money penalties there that...and I think \$5,000 just to start with is a pretty big hit when we're bringing a bill in on Final Reading, putting it...trying to put it into another bill on Final Reading, circumventing the rules more or less because the bill was killed six to one in committee. That says something, that the committee didn't think that it was the time for this bill to be put out. I don't think any of us would argue that we need